



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,714	06/20/2001	James Edward Cox		5964
7590	10/27/2004		EXAMINER	
James E Cox P O Box 71151 Reno, NV 89570			JOYCE, WILLIAM C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3682

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/886,714

Applicant(s)

COX, JAMES EDWARD

Examiner

William C. Joyce

Art Unit

3682

*-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --***Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 June 2001.  
2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  
7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_  
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3682

## DETAILED ACTION

This is the First Office Action in response to the above identified patent application filed on June 20, 2001.

### *Information Disclosure Statement*

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### *Drawings*

2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because the original drawings appear to be informal because the line quality is not clear. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

3. The drawings are objected to because Figure "2b" must be changed to --2a-- to correspond to the written description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37

Art Unit: 3682

CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "spring release" (claim 3), "mechanical clutch is ball in an inclined plane with spring release of ball via slotted lever" (claim 4), the "cam buckle acting on a nylon webbing material member" (claim 5), the "ball governor" (claim 10), the "wankel engine" (claim 12), the "fuel cell" (claim 13), the "splined shaft" (claim 14), the "multiple oscillators with at least two coaxial coupled by a common Oldham coupler" (claim 16), the "four oscillators... each independently oscillating from a common Oldham couple motor drive source" (claim 17),

Art Unit: 3682

the "chain drive" (claim 18) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s).

No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### ***Specification***

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The

Art Unit: 3682

abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the implied phrase "This invention relates to" must be deleted and it must be in the form of a single paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The written specification contains typographical errors, such as, the term "fram" must be changed to --frame-- (line 5 of page 6), the terms "And adjustable" must be changed to --An adjustable-- (line 18 of page 6). Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Objections***

8. Claims 1-18 are objected to because of the following informalities: Each claim must end with a single period and the terminology "1.," (claim 2, line 1) must be changed to --1,--. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

9. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility insofar as pertains to applicant's implication throughout the disclosure that the device can produce motion without reacting against an outside force (e.g. friction, land, or water), and without expelling mass such as in a jet plane. An example of implications appear as follows: "The primary objective of this invention is to generate vertical lifting forces in the gravity field of the earth" (page 5, lines 1-2) and "The invention builds upon prior art in the use of rotating unbalanced masses" (page 5, line 2).

The present invention is a propulsion system which allegedly generates thrust through a mass mounted on a rotating guide and having means for creating a force imbalance. It is submitted however that such an operation violates basic physical laws, including conservation of linear momentum and Newton's Law of Motion. Since all mass is completely recirculated within the system; there is no mass transfer and thus no momentum transfer between the system and its environment. Therefore, the device is considered inoperative.

In order to operate in the manner and for the purpose disclosed, the device would have to violate Newton's third law of motion which states that an action force must be imposed upon an external frame of reference in order for there to be a net reaction force with respect to the external reference frame. In this case, the specification does not disclose an action force which is applied to the housing, and accordingly there cannot be a net reaction force with respect to the housing.

The Patent and Trademark office is authorized to require evidence to the operability of an invention for which patent protection is sought. Consequently, in order to overcome the above rejection, applicant is required to demonstrate the operability of the invention, for example, by way of a working model.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

11. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

12. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As described above, the invention is not operable and therefore one in the art could not make and/or use the invention.

13. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

14. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3682

- a. In the preamble of claim 1, the term "consisting" is a closed term which limits the inclusion of elements other than those recited by the claim. Examiner suggests changing the term to --comprising--.
- b. Claim 7, the limitation "the rotor, planet, and sun gear" lacks proper antecedent basis.
- c. Claim 8, the limitations "the satellite mass" and "the sun with equal mass" lack proper antecedent basis.
- d. Claim 9, the limitation "the combined planet gear and rotor" lacks proper antecedent basis.
- e. Claim 10, the limitation "the flywheel is replaced with a ball governor" is not understood because a dependent claim incorporates each and every element of the independent claim.
- f. Claim 14, the limitation "the slide coupler" lacks proper antecedent basis.
- g. Claim 15, the limitations "the slide coupler" and "the oscillator axle" lack proper antecedent basis.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3682

16. Claims 1-18, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Srogi (US Patent 4,242,918).

Srogi discloses an oscillator having a linear slide mechanism and a clutching mechanism, wherein an unbalanced mass is rotated about an axis.

### ***Conclusion***

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the oscillators of Ryan ('615) and Murray ('469).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Joyce whose telephone number is (703) 305-5114. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
10/25/04  
**WILLIAM C. JOYCE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**